# **DRIVERLESS**

# **End of Summer Showcase**

August 28, 2020

## Agenda

- Introduction
- Project presentations
  - Architecture
  - Perception
  - Planning and Controls
- Q&A

#### Q&A: Indicate in Zoom which room you want to join





#### **OUR MISSION**

- To be the center for **applying** autonomous vehicle technology at MIT.
- To create and implement cutting edge technology to compete against and learn from our peers around the world.
- To build relationships across campus and beyond, while developing leaders in the AV, robotics, and tech industries.

#### OUR NEXT CHALLENGE

Autonomously drive an IndyLights racecar **head-to-head** at the world famous **Indianapolis Motor Speedway**. Speeds up to **180 MPH** against **31** of the top universities from around the world.

"If everything seems under control, you're just not going fast enough." — Mario Andretti



#### **Progress to date**

We took a project-based approach to our summer work.

Our team focused on 3 key challenges:



1. Defining the **requirements** and creating **system architecture** concepts



2. Building a "faster LiDAR" for 3D object detection



3. Developing our first planner and controller for multi-agent racing



Interested in our work?

• Become a sponsor! Email us to learn more: <u>driverless-business@mit.edu</u>

• Join our team! Apply here: <u>http://driverless.mit.edu/join-the-team</u>

• Follow us on social media!



# Architecture



 $\mathbf{x}$ 

Interested in hearing more? Join the Q&A by clicking

# **Architecture Team**



**Nick Stathas** 



Tejas (TJ) Chafekar



**Bouke Edskes** 







## **System Thinking**





#### Subsystem Breakdown





# Perception Architecture







## **Modular Concept - Monocular Camera Object Detection**

Every camera frame is fed to an object detector.

#### Dimensions

- Multi-sensor
  - Jointly
  - Batched
- Temporal
  - Current frame
  - Past few frames
  - Temporal Shift Module

#### Detectors

- Bounding box
- Instance segmentation

#### Depth Estimation

- Ground plane assumption
- Neural network depth estimation <sup>f</sup>



- Project bounding box to frustum on LiDAR pointcloud
- Render-based depth estimation (ask us about it!)
- Inverse perspective-n point on regressed key points Example key points: wing tips, mirrors, tires







# State Estimation Architecture





#### **Interface Overview**





#### **Concept Overview**





### **Object Tracking Intuition**



# Planning and Controls Architecture



Finish the Race

Avoid Collisions



#### Finish the Race



System Performance





**Avoid Collisions** 

Trajectory Planning and Motion Control















# Perception



**~~** 

Interested in hearing more? Join the Q&A by clicking

# **Perception Team**



Sibo Zhu

Zhijian Liu



Sophie Zhai

Matthew Millendorf





## **Goal #1: Multi-Sensor Perception - PointPainting**

A method where information inferred from a camera is combined with a LiDAR point cloud to improve performance in 3D object detection.





Vora, Sourabh, et al. "Pointpainting: Sequential fusion for 3d object detection." *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* DRIVERLESS on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2020.

## **Step 1: Inferring information from a camera**

How is this done?







Camera Image

Semantic Segmentation Model

Pixelwise 'class' Scores



L.-C. Chen, et al. "Encoder-decoder with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation." *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision.* 2018.

#### **Step 1: Inferring information from a camera**





## **Step 2: Combine with LiDAR Point Cloud**



**Semantic Segmentation** 

#### **Step 2: Combine with LiDAR Point Cloud**

#### Lidar

**PointPainted** 

#### Camera



#### **Step 3: Develop 3D Object Detection Model**



'Painted' Point Cloud

3D Object Detection Model

Detection of objects in point cloud



#### **Results**





#### **Conclusion: Putting it all together**



## Intuition for Goal #2





Camera: 37 hz

LiDAR: 10 hz





50 mph



200 mph



# Goal #2





37hz



## Goal # 2: Faster LiDAR







#### **Design of Faster LiDAR**



#### **Design of Faster LiDAR**





















Predictive Model	MSE	FPS(Hz)
Single Input	1.861460	22
Double Input	1.060083	16



#### Visualization



## **Discussion on Future Improvement**

- Use more history information to predict future frames
- Improve efficiency
  - a. Convert code to C++ for faster inference
  - b. Neural Network Channel Pruning
  - c. Int 8 Quantization



## The Path Forward...

- Use camera frame to correct our 3D predictive model
- Multi-agent object tracking
- Dual-redundant perception system



# **Planning & Controls**



>>

Interested in hearing more? Join the Q&A by clicking

# **Planning and Controls Team**



#### Arjun Albert



#### Mike Schoder



Adam Potter

#### **Planning and Controls**



## Planning

Parameters

Planning Horizon (m)

**Goal:** Generate the most efficient, obstacle-free trajectories based on the determined race strategy. Operate at low-latency and pass trajectory onto controls stack.

#### Constraints:

- High speeds  $\rightarrow$  8 meters in 100ms  $\rightarrow$  low-latency requirement
- Vehicle dynamics constraints
- Obstacles/other vehicles with unpredictable future behavior



Planning framework and architecture heavily inspired by Technical University of Munich work for Roborace: Multilayer Graph-Based Trajectory Planning for Race Vehicles in Dynamic Scenarios, Stahl et al., 2019



## Cost-To-Go Map



**General Idea:** IMS racetrack is a highly-constrained environment with many fewer variables than real-world driving. We can pre-compute C1 trajectories and hold cost and position information for a reasonably fine state space discretization in memory, which speeds up the online computations for path planning -- latency is critical at high race speeds

#### Method:

- Frenet-frame track lattice discretization
- Calculate optimal raceline based on simulated vehicle dynamics
- Cost assigned to each edge based on distance from raceline and curvature
- Graph held in memory; planner extracts segments for local search and planning



## **Obstacle Avoidance**



#### <u>Pipeline</u>

- Local graph extraction from pre-computed global cost lattice
- Obstacle-based node & edge deletion
- Minimum cost path search
- Curvature-continuous (C2) trajectory generation
- Velocity profile

75

Current runtime latency: < 50 ms



## **Upcoming in Path Planning**

- Higher level action primitives & decision-making (pass, defend, hold)
- Latency reduction efforts for graph collision checking
- Tighter integration with perception & state estimation
- Testing as part of full stack in simulation



## **Multi-Agent Models**

#### **Agent Model Goals**

- Give ego vehicle multi agent collision constraints to multi-agent MPC
- Create occupancy probability ellipse for obstacle avoidance

#### Considerations

- Growth in uncertainty over time
- Lane-keeping likelihood
- Determine boundaries at N-sigma confidence





Schwarting, Wilko, et al. "Parallel Autonomy in Automated Vehicles: Safe Motion Generation with Minimal Intervention." 2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)



## **Multi-Agent Models**

#### **Occupancy Probability Ellipse**

- Probability density function feeds into obstacle avoidance
- Easy to integrate future states



#### **Upcoming for Multi-Agent Models**

- Road agent trajectory prediction
- More optimized ego vehicle representation for Minkowski sum
- Testing and integration









#### **Controls: MPC Formulation**



Model equalities

 $min \sum W_c \cdot E_{crosstrack}^2 + W_l \cdot E_{lag}^2 + W_v \cdot E_{velocity}^2 - W_s \cdot V$ 



#### **Controls: MPC Progress**



Constraints: Agents collision Control Limits Vehicle Slip



## **Upcoming for Controls**

- Delay integration
- Reinforcement learning for better model
- Tire wear, fuel consumption, etc
- More advanced agents



# Q&A in Breakout Rooms

Want to join the team? Want to sponsor? Want to follow us?

driverless.mit.edu



